UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,941	01/23/2007	Stewart Francis Ledgard	JAMES68.013APC	5412	
	7590 12/10/200 RTENS OLSON & BE		EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET			HELM, CARALYNNE E		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/10/2008	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/573,941	LEDGARD, STEWART FRANCIS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CARALYNNE HELM	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	8) Claim(s) 1-26 and 28-33 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
0)23 Claim(0) 7-20 and 20 00 and sabject to restrict	on analor olootion roquiromonic.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<del>.</del>					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	2101117 ipplioditoli				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-26, drawn to a delivery device adapted to deliver one or more treatment substances internally to an animal.

Group II, claims 28-31, drawn to a method of altering the composition of animal waste

Group III, claims 32-33, drawn to a composition comprising one or more treatment substances for directly or indirectly affecting the conversion of nitrogen containing compounds in animal waste and material to ensure the conversion is affected once the animal waste is excreted from the animal

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: their common technical feature is not novel. The common technical feature of each group is a treatment substance that can directly or indirectly affect conversion of nitrogen containing compounds in animal waste once the waste is excreted. Manalastas et al. (US Patent No. 5,188,654) teach that N-(n-butyl) thiophosphoric triamide, a substance taught by applicant to be a treatment substance that can directly or indirectly affect

conversion of nitrogen containing compounds in animal waste once the waste is excreted, was known at the time of the invention (see column 10 lines 9-15). Thus since, a treatment substance that can directly or indirectly affect conversion of nitrogen containing compounds in animal waste once the waste is excreted is known in the prior art, this technical feature cannot be deemed as special.

Claim 27 has not been included in a restriction because it is constructed as a "use" claim which is non-statutory subject matter. Should applicant amend this claim to be drawn to process or product not included in the groups delineated above, it would form its own separate group.

Should group I be elected then an election of species is also required.

## Election

This application contains claims in Group I directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The species are linked by a delivery device adapted to deliver internally to an animal a treatment substance that can directly or indirectly affect conversion of nitrogen containing compounds in animal waste once the waste is excreted. Zingerman (US Patent No. 4,872,873) teaches that a ruminal bolus, a device taught by applicant to be such a delivery device, was known at the time of the invention. Thus since, a delivery device adapted to deliver internally to an animal a treatment substance that can directly

or indirectly affect conversion of nitrogen containing compounds in animal waste once the waste is excreted is known in the prior art, this technical feature cannot be deemed as special.

The species are as follows (choose 1 or 2):

- Particular delivery devices adapted to deliver a particular treatment substance
  - Select a particular treatment substance, a particular claimed delivery device and, if claimed, its associated mechanism of delivery (see claims 3-7 for treatment substances, claims 14-20 and 26 for devices and claims 23-25 for mechanisms of delivery)
- 2. Particular delivery devices adapted to deliver a particular treatment substance and a particular beneficial compound
  - Select a particular treatment substance, a particular beneficial agent, a particular claimed delivery device and, if claimed, its associated mechanism of delivery (see claims 3-7 for treatment substances, claims 8-11, claims 14-20 and 26 for devices and claims 23-25 for mechanisms of delivery)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,941 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

# Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CARALYNNE HELM whose telephone number is (571)270-3506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8-5 (EDT).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward or Tracy Vivlemore can be reached on 571-272-8373 or 571-272-2914, respectively. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,941 Page 7

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Caralynne Helm/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615

> /Tracy Vivlemore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635